

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/886,148 | 09/886,148 06/22/2001 | | Kazutaka Inukai | 12732-053001 | 5723 | |
| 26171 | 7590 | 09/21/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FISH & RI | | SON P.C. | | CHANG, KENT WU | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2675 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/21/2005 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/886,148 | INUKAI, KAZUTAKA | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Kent Chang | 2675 | | |

| | Kent Chang | 2675 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH | | | | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (| ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | | | | |
| | a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI | f the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action: or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com | pliance with 27 CER 41 27 must be | filed within two | 41 | | | | | |
| of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | | | |
| | but when the transfer of the | | | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or | tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co): | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | | | | |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) | □ will not be entered, or b) □ w | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | vided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-46,48-55,57-64,66-73 and 75-82</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,47,56,65 and 74</u> . | • | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidate | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | hed. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | Kent Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2675 | | | | | | |
| | | , at Orne. 2010 | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's argument are not deemed to be persuasive, the prior art of record still reads on the broadly claimed invention.